

HIGH SCHOOL APPROPRIATE ENGINEERING CONTENT KNOWLEDGE IN THE
INFUSION OF ENGINEERING DESIGN INTO K-12 CURRICULUM

(Under the General Topic of “Engineering Design in Secondary Education” and of
“Vision and Recommendations for Engineering-Oriented Professional Development”)

Summer 2009

College of Education, University of Georgia

Professors:

Dr. Robert Wicklein, Dr. Roger Hill and Dr. John Mativo

Advisors:

Dr. Sidney Thompson and Dr. David Gattie (Driftmier Engineering Center)

Student:

Edward Locke (edwardnlocke@yahoo.com)

Completion Date:

Thursday, July 9, 2009

Note:

This part of the Project has been originally written during Spring 2009,
in the NCETE Core 4 - Engineering Design in STEM Education course taught by
Dr. Kurt Becker (Utah State University),
Dr. Mark Tufenkjian (California State University Los Angeles),
Dr. Rodney L. Custer and Dr. Jenny Daugherty (Illinois State University).
Substantial editing and extension has been made during Summer 2009

Table of Content

Content	Page
PART ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
Purpose of this Research Paper	1
<i>The Particular or Immediate Purpose of the Research Paper</i>	1
<i>The General or Ultimate Aim of this Research Paper and its Seamless Connection to NCETE Research Agenda</i>	2
Potential Significance of this Research Paper	3
Research Question	4
PART TWO: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	6
Rationales for this Research Paper	6
Previous Scholarly Endeavors at Infusion of Engineering Design into K-12 Technology Education	7
<i>Existing Models to Help Solving the Problem of Shortage in Engineering Graduates</i>	7
<i>New Ideas for a Cohesive and Systemic Improvement of K-12 Engineering and Technology Education in the United States</i>	8
<i>Connection to NCETE Agenda</i>	9
<i>Importance of Engineering Analytic Knowledge Content</i>	10
<i>Necessary Components for Engineering-Oriented Professional Development</i>	11
<i>Differences between Conceptual and Procedural Knowledge</i>	12
<i>Contributions of Scholars at the University of Georgia in Identifying Specific Engineering Analytic Knowledge Content for K-12 Institutions</i>	14
PART THREE: K-12 STUDENTS' MATHEMATICS & SCIENCE PREPARATION BASED ON GEORGIA PERFORMANCE STANDARDS FOR A POTENTIAL IMPLEMENTATION OF ENGINEERING CURRICULUM	19
<i>Limitation of This Study</i>	19
<i>Sections of Georgia Performance Standards Directly Relevant to the Infusion of Engineering Analytic Content Knowledge into the K-12 Curriculum</i>	21
Mathematics Preparations	21
<i>The Role of Mathematics Skills</i>	21

Table of Content (Continued)

Content	Page
<i>Applied Engineering Mathematics</i>	23
<i>Selection of Academic Performance Standards</i>	23
<i>An Urgent Need to Increase Domestic Students’ Opportunities in Engineering Education</i>	26
<i>Georgia Performance Standards in Mathematics Directly Relevant to the Infusion of Engineering Analytic Content Knowledge throughout the K-12 Curriculum</i>	28
Science Preparations: Physics, Chemistry and Materials, Environmental Science and Related Topics	39
<i>Importance of Science Preparation</i>	39
Georgia Performance Standards for Engineering and Technology	48
<i>Characteristics of Georgia Performance Standards in Engineering and Technology</i>	48
<i>Mutual Compatibility between Georgia Performance Standards for Engineering and Technology and the Proposed Model for Infusing Engineering Design into K-12 Curriculum</i>	50
<i>Relevance of Georgia Performance Standards for Engineering and Technology to Infusion of Engineering Analytic Content Knowledge into K-12 Curriculum</i>	53
PART FOUR: SELECTION OF HIGH SCHOOL APPROPRIATE ENGINEERING ANALYTIC CONTENT KNOWLEDGE FOR THE SUBJECT OF STATICS AND FLUID MECHANICS	55
<i>Source materials</i>	55
<i>Procedures of Analysis and Selection</i>	57
<i>Adjustment for Mathematically “Highly-Talented” Students</i>	59
PART FIVE: STRATEGIES FOR IMPLEMENTING ENGINEERING ANALYTIC AND PREDICTIVE PRINCIPLES AND COMPUTATIONAL SKILLS INTO K-12 ENGINEERING CURRICULUM	61
Structural Incorporation of Engineering Topics into K-12 Engineering Curriculum	61
Strategy for Infusing Statics Topics into a Potentially Viable High School Engineering Curriculum	61
<i>Proposed Strategy for Infusing Pre-calculus Level Statics Topics</i>	61

Table of Content (Continued)

Content	Page
<i>Strategy for Infusing Beginning Calculus Level Statics Topics</i>	65
Strategy for Infusing Fluid Mechanics Topics into a Potentially Viable High School Engineering Curriculum	66
<i>Strategy for Infusing Pre-calculus Level Fluid Mechanics Topics</i>	66
<i>Strategy for Infusing Beginning Calculus Level Fluid Mechanics Topics</i> ...	70
Application of Calculus Skills in Undergraduate Engineering	
Foundation Courses	70
<i>The Extent of Calculus Skills Used</i>	70
<i>General Applications of Calculus in Science and Engineering</i>	71
<i>Possibility for “Highly-Talented” Students</i>	71
<i>The Proposed Strategy for “Average” Students</i>	72
Selecting the Most Important Engineering Analytic and Predictive Principles and Formulas for K-12 Engineering Curriculum	75
<i>A Proposed Five-Point Likert Scale Survey Study</i>	75
<i>Reasons for Establishing the Order of Importance for Various Statics and Fluid Mechanics Topics</i>	77
<i>Review, Validation, and Approval of the Five-Point Likert Scale Four- Round Delphi Survey Forms</i>	78
<i>Delphi Survey with Participants</i>	80
<i>Completion and Statistic Analysis of Statics and Fluid Mechanics Survey Forms</i>	82
Developing Appropriate Pedagogic Strategy for K-12 Engineering Curriculum	83
<i>Differences between High School and College Students and Pedagogic Strategy for K-12 Engineering Curriculum</i>	83
<i>Modernization of Engineering Pedagogy</i>	84
<i>Important Considerations to be Taken</i>	85
<i>The Three-Method Approach</i>	86
PART SIX: STRATEGIC VISION FOR ENGINEERING-ORIENTED PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	88
<i>An Urgent Call for Farsighted and Long-term Strategic Thinking</i>	88
<i>Strategic Vision for an Up to Beginning Calculus-Level K-12 Engineering & Technology Teachers’ Professional Development</i>	90

Table of Content (Continued)

Content	Page
<i>Technical Details of the Vision</i>	93
<i>Professional Development for Future K-12 Engineering and Technology Teachers</i>	98
<i>Curricular development</i>	101
PART SEVEN: CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS	104
The Potential Contribution of this Research Project	104
Recommendations for Further Study	106
REFERENCE	111

List of Tables

Table	Page
Table 1. Commonly Shared Undergraduate Lower-Division Engineering Foundation Course among Various Engineering Programs at the University of Georgia	20
Table 2A: Grades K-8 Number, Four Operations & Algebra Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards)	31
Table 2B. Grades K-8 Geometry Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards)	32
Table 2C. Grades K-6 Measurement & Comparison Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards)	33
Table 2D. Grades K-8 Data Analysis, Probabilities & Statistics Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards)	33
Table 2E. Grades 9-12 Number, Operations & Functions Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards)	34
Table 2F. Grades 9-12 Trigonometry & Analytic Geometry Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards)	35
Table 2G. Grades 9-12 Linear Algebra Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards)	36
Table 2H. Grades 9-12 Vector Graphic Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards)	36
Table 2K. Grades 9-12 Data Analysis, Probabilities & Statistics Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance standards)	37

List of Tables (Continued)

Table	Page
Table 3A. Grades K-8 Physics-Related Science Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards)	43
Table 3B. Grades 9-12 Physics Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards)	44
Table 4A. Grades K-8 Chemistry & Materials Related Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards)	45
Table 4B. Grades 9-12 Chemistry Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards)	46
Table 5. Grades 3 and 5 Environment Science Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards)	47
Table 6. Grade 7 General Scientific Approach Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards)	47
Table 7. Mutual Compatibility between Georgia Performance Standards for Engineering and Technology and the Proposed “K-12 Engineering Road Map”	51

List of Figures

Figure	Page
Figure 1A. The Statics course in the Academic Flow Chart for the Mechanical Design Option of the proposed K-12 Engineering and Technology Teacher Education program	4
Figure 1B. The Statics course in the Academic Flow Chart for the Manufacturing Option of the proposed K-12 Engineering and Technology Teacher Education program	5
Figure 2. University of Georgia scholars contributions to improving K-12 engineering and technology education	14
Figure 3. Grades 6-12 mathematics courses under Georgia Performance Standards	25
Figure 4A. Website of Georgia Performance Standards for Science (Grades K-8)	38
Figure 4A. Website of Georgia Performance Standards for Physics and Chemistry	38
Figure 4C. Georgia Performance Standards for Engineering and Technology	49

List of Figures

Figure	Page
Figure 4D. Proposed Model for Infusing Engineering Design into K-12 Curriculum	50
Figure 5. Engineering Topics Mathematics and Science Pre-requisite Completion Chart	57
Figure 6. Notation for undergraduate level appropriate statics topics	65
Figure 7. Two different approaches for infusing engineering analytic course content into K-12 engineering curriculum	74
Figure 8. Five-point Likert Scale Delphi survey form	82
Figure 9. Project-Base Learning improves high school students core STEM skills	85
Figure 10. The Academic Flow Chart for the Electrical and Electronics Option of my previously presented Proposed Model for K-12 Engineering and Technology Teacher Education program	87
Figure 11. A streamlined vision for STEM education from K-12 through community colleges to universities and beyond	90
Figure 12. Recommended additional research	110
