

NCETE Core 4 Research Paper

Selected Topics: Others Approved

(Under the General Topic of “Engineering Design in Secondary Education” and of
“Vision and Recommendations for Engineering-Oriented Professional Development”)

HIGH SCHOOL APPROPRIATE ENGINEERING CONTENT KNOWLEDGE IN THE
INFUSION OF ENGINEERING DESIGN INTO K-12 CURRICULUM: STATICS

NCETE Core 4 - Engineering Design in STEM Education

Spring 2009

College of Education

University of Georgia

Professors: Dr. Kurt Becker (Utah State University) and
Dr. Mark Tufenkjian (California State University Los Angeles)

Advisors: Dr. John Mativo, Dr. Robert Wicklein, and Dr. Sidney Thompson

Student: Edward Locke (elocke@uga.edu)

Table of Content

| Content | Page |
|---|------|
| PART ONE: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| Purpose of this Research Paper | 1 |
| <i>The Particular or Immediate Purpose of the Research Paper</i> | 1 |
| <i>The General or Ultimate Aim of this Research Paper and its Seamless</i> <i>Connection to NCETE Research Agenda</i> | 2 |
| Potential Significance of this Research Paper | 3 |
| Research Question | 4 |
| PART TWO: REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 6 |
| Rationales for this Research Paper | 6 |
| Previous Scholarly Endeavors at Infusion of Engineering Design into K-12 Technology Education | 7 |
| <i>Existing Models to Help Solving the Problem of Shortage in</i> <i>Engineering Graduates</i> | 7 |
| <i>New Ideas for a Cohesive and Systemic Improvement of K-12 Engineering</i> <i>and Technology Education in the United States</i> | 8 |
| <i>Connection to NCETE Agenda</i> | 9 |
| <i>Importance of Engineering Analytic Knowledge Content</i> | 10 |
| <i>Necessary Components for Engineering-Oriented Professional</i> <i>Development</i> | 10 |
| <i>Differences between Conceptual and Procedural Knowledge</i> | 12 |
| <i>Contributions of Scholars at the University of Georgia in Identifying</i> <i>Specific Engineering Analytic Knowledge Content for</i> <i>K-12 Institutions</i> | 13 |
| PART THREE: K-12 STUDENTS' MATHEMATICS & SCIENCE PREPARATION BASED ON GEORGIA PERFORMANCE STANDARDS FOR A POTENTIAL IMPLEMENTATION OF ENGINEERING CURRICULUM | 18 |
| <i>Limitation of This Study</i> | 18 |
| <i>Sections of Georgia Performance Standards Directly Relevant to the</i> <i>Infusion of Engineering Analytic Content Knowledge into</i> <i>the K-12 Curriculum</i> | 19 |
| Mathematics Preparations | 20 |
| <i>The Role of Mathematics Skills</i> | 20 |

Table of Content (Continued)

| Content | Page |
|---|------|
| <i>Applied Engineering Mathematics</i> | 22 |
| <i>Selection of Academic Performance Standards</i> | 22 |
| <i>An Urgent Need to Increase Domestic Students' Opportunities in Engineering Education</i> | 25 |
| <i>Georgia Performance Standards in Mathematics Directly Relevant to the Infusion of Engineering Analytic Content Knowledge throughout the K-12 Curriculum</i> | 27 |
| Science Preparations: Physics, Chemistry and Materials, Environmental Science and Related Topics | 36 |
| <i>Importance of Science Preparation</i> | 37 |
| Georgia Performance Standards for Engineering and Technology | 46 |
| <i>Characteristics of Georgia Performance Standards in Engineering and Technology</i> | 46 |
| <i>Mutual Compatibility between Georgia Performance Standards for Engineering and Technology and the Proposed Model of Infusing Engineering Design into K-12 Curriculum</i> | 48 |
| <i>Relevance of Georgia Performance Standards for Engineering and Technology to Infusion of Engineering Analytic Content Knowledge into K-12 Curriculum</i> | 50 |
| PART FOUR: SELECTION OF HIGH SCHOOL APPROPRIATE ENGINEERING ANALYTIC CONTENT KNOWLEDGE FOR THE SUBJECT OF STATICS | |
| <i>Source materials</i> | 53 |
| Selecting High School Appropriate Topics of Engineering Analytic and Predictive Principles and Computational Formulas for the Subject of Statics | 53 |
| <i>Procedures of Analysis and Selection</i> | 54 |
| <i>Adjustment for Mathematically "Highly-Talented" Students</i> | 56 |
| PART FIVE: STRATEGIES FOR IMPLEMENTING ENGINEERING ANALYTIC AND PREDICTIVE PRINCIPLES AND COMPUTATIONAL SKILLS INTO K-12 ENGINEERING CURRICULUM | |
| Structural Incorporation of Engineering Topics into K-12 Engineering Curriculum | 103 |
| <i>Strategy for Infusing Pre-calculus Level Statics Topics</i> | 103 |
| <i>Strategy for Infusing Beginning Calculus Level Statics Topics</i> | 106 |

Table of Content (Continued)

| Content | Page |
|--|------------|
| Selecting the Most Important Engineering Analytic and Predictive Principles and Formulas for K-12 Engineering Curriculum | 112 |
| <i>A Proposed Five-Point Likert Scale Survey Study</i> | 112 |
| Developing Appropriate Pedagogic Strategy for K-12 Engineering Curriculum | 118 |
| <i>Differences between High School and College Students and Pedagogic Strategy for K-12 Engineering Curriculum</i> | 118 |
| <i>Modernization of Engineering Pedagogy</i> | 119 |
| <i>Important Considerations to be Taken</i> | 120 |
| PART SIX: STRATEGIC VISION FOR ENGINEERING-ORIENTED PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT | 123 |
| <i>Vision for an Up to Beginning Calculus-Level K-12 Engineering & Technology Teachers' Professional Development</i> | 123 |
| <i>Technical Details of the Vision</i> | 125 |
| <i>Professional Development for Future K-12 Engineering and Technology Teachers</i> | 130 |
| <i>Curricular development</i> | 133 |
| PART SEVEN: CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS | 135 |
| The Contribution of this Research Paper | 135 |
| Recommendations for Further Study | 137 |
| REFERENCE | 141 |

List of Tables

| Table | Page |
|---|------|
| Table 1. Commonly Shared Undergraduate Lower-Division Engineering Foundation Course among Various Engineering Programs at the University of Georgia | 19 |
| Table 2A: Grades K-8 Number, Four Operations & Algebra Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards) | 29 |
| Table 2B. Grades K-8 Geometry Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards) | 30 |
| Table 2C. Grades K-6 Measurement & Comparison Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards) | 31 |

List of Tables (Continued)

| Table | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Table 2D. Grades K-8 Data Analysis, Probabilities & Statistics Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards) | 32 |
| Table 2E. Grades 9-12 Number, Operations & Functions Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards) | 33 |
| Table 2F. Grades 9-12 Trigonometry & Analytic Geometry Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards) | 34 |
| Table 2G. Grades 9-12 Linear Algebra Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards) | 34 |
| Table 2H. Grades 9-12 Vector Graphic Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards) | 35 |
| Table 2K. Grades 9-12 Data Analysis, Probabilities & Statistics Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance standards) | 35 |
| Table 3A. Grades K-8 Physics-Related Science Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards) | 41 |
| Table 3B. Grades 9-12 Physics Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards) | 42 |
| Table 4A. Grades K-8 Chemistry & Materials Related Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards) | 43 |
| Table 4B. Grades 9-12 Chemistry Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards) | 44 |
| Table 5. Grades 3 and 5 Environment Science Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards) | 45 |
| Table 6. Grade 7 General Scientific Approach Topics Completion Chart (According to Georgia Performance Standards) | 45 |
| Table 7. Georgia Performance Standards for Engineering and Technology - Proposed “K-12 Engineering Road Map Compatibility Chart | 49 |
| Table 8. Engineering Topics Mathematics and Science Pre-requisite Completion Chart for the Subject of Statics | 58 |
| Table 9. Delphi - Likert Scale Questionnaire on the Importance of Various Statics Topics Selected for High School Engineering Curriculum (For the Pre-calculus Portion) | 81 |
| Table 10. Delphi - Likert Scale Questionnaire on the Importance of Various Statics Topics Selected for High School Engineering Curriculum (For the Calculus Portion) | 90 |

List of Figures

| Figure | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Figure 1A. The Statics course in the Academic Flow Chart for the Mechanical Design Option of the proposed K-12 Engineering and Technology Teacher Education program | 4 |
| Figure 1B. The Statics course in the Academic Flow Chart for the Manufacturing Option of the proposed K-12 Engineering and Technology Teacher Education program | 5 |
| Figure 2. University of Georgia scholars contributions to improving K-12 engineering and technology education | 14 |
| Figure 3. Grades 6-12 mathematics courses under Georgia Performance Standards | 24 |
| Figure 4A. Website of Georgia Performance Standards for Science (Grades K-8) | 36 |
| Figure 4C. Georgia Performance Standards for Engineering and Technology | 47 |
| Figure 4D. Proposed Model for Infusing Engineering Design into K-12 Curriculum | 48 |
| Figure 5. Engineering Topics Mathematics and Science Pre-requisite Completion Chart | 54 |
| Figure 7. Two different approaches for infusing engineering analytic course content into K-12 engineering curriculum | 112 |
| Figure 8. Table 9 survey form | 117 |
| Figure 9. Project-Base Learning improves high school students core STEM skills | 119 |
| Figure 10. The Academic Flow Chart for the Electrical and Electronics Option of my previously presented Proposed Model for K-12 Engineering and Technology Teacher Education program | 122 |
| Figure 11. Recommended additional research | 139 |
